## THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

**OF** 

**DANIEL F. SULLIVAN** 

**JUNE 24, 2010** 



**DOCKET NO. 2010-4-G** 

ANNUAL REVIEW OF PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT AND GAS PURCHASING POLICIES OF PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. June 24, 2010 Page 1 of 11

June 24, 2010 Page 2 of 1

- February 2005, I began my employment with ORS and since then have been
- 2 involved in cases dealing with the regulation of gas, electric, water and wastewater
- 3 companies
- 4 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY INVOLVING
- 5 PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.?
- 6 A. The purpose of my testimony is to set forth findings resulting from ORS's
- 7 examination of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.'s ("Company" or
- 8 "Piedmont") deferred account #253.04, inventory calculations, and account
- 9 #191.01, Deferred Account Hedging Program, for the period April 2009 through
- 10 March 2010.
- 11 Q. IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR TESTIMONY, DID YOU PREPARE OR
- 12 CAUSE TO BE PREPARED ANY EXHIBITS?
- 13 A. Yes, the Audit Staff prepared Audit Exhibit DFS-1, titled "Account #253.04
- Analysis Summary," Audit Exhibit DFS-2, titled "Storage Inventory Activity," and
- 15 Audit Exhibit DFS-3, titled "Risk Management Hedging Program," in connection
- with this testimony. These exhibits were prepared by me or under my direction and
- supervision.
- 18 Q. UNDER WHAT AUTHORITY DOES THE ORS MONITOR THE
- 19 ACTIVITY IN PIEDMONT'S DEFERRED ACCOUNT #253.04?
- 20 A. In Docket No. 83-126-G and 86-217-G, Order No. 88-294, the Public
- 21 Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") found that:

Page 3 of 11

June 24, 2010

1 (1) A true-up for differences between billed and filed rates is appropriate and 2 necessary to assure that Piedmont's customers pay no more than Piedmont's 3 actual cost of gas. 4 (2) A true-up of demand charges for changes in sales volumes is appropriate 5 and necessary to assure that Piedmont's customers pay no more than 6 Piedmont's actual cost of gas. 7 (3) The Company is to maintain an account reflecting its gas costs each month, 8 the amount of gas costs recovered each month, and amounts deferred from 9 month to month. The Company is also required to file, with the 10 Commission, a report on a monthly basis showing the status of this deferred 11 account. 12 Additionally, with the issuance of Order No. 2002-223 dated March 26, 13 2002 in Docket No. 2001-410-G, the Company is required to file regular reports on 14 the status of the hedging program and the results of its hedging activities. 15 Q. HAS ORS CONDUCTED THE COMMISSION REQUIRED **EXAMINATION OF THE COMPANY'S DEFERRED ACCOUNT?** 16 17 A. Yes. ORS has examined the activities included in deferred account #253.04. 18 In addition, ORS has examined the Company's gas storage inventory accounts and 19 account #191.01, Deferred Account Hedging Program, for the period April 2009 20 through March 2010. 21 PLEASE EXPLAIN AUDIT EXHIBIT DFS-1 AND WHAT IS REFLECTED Q. 22 IN THAT EXHIBIT.

June 24, 2010 Page 4 of 11

1	A.	Audit Exhibit DFS-1 presents, for each month of the period under
2		examination, the components which comprise the Company's over/under collection
3		in deferred account #253.04. These components are:
4		<u>Commodity True-Up</u> - These amounts represent the difference in the Company's
5		actual gas costs on a monthly basis as compared to the benchmark cost of gas
6		included in the Company's Gas Cost Recovery Mechanism ("GCRM") filings
7		during the period. The Company's benchmark cost of gas at the beginning of the
8		period was \$6.50, established as a result of the Company filing GCRM #126,
9		effective the first billing cycle in February 2009. The Company's benchmark cost
10		of gas at the end of the period was \$5.85, established as a result of the Company
11		filing GCRM #127, effective the first billing cycle in March 2010. For the months
12		of April through September 2009, on a Carolinas System basis, actual gas costs
13		were computed and compared to the benchmark cost and any difference was
14		allocated to South Carolina operations based on the current month's sales
15		percentage. Beginning October 1, 2009, in accordance with Order No. 2010-250
16		dated March 30, 2010, the Company began including unbilled volumes in its
17		commodity true-up calculations in order to achieve a better matching of gas cost
18		collections versus actual gas costs in the months they occur. South Carolina's
19		portion of commodity true-up for the period decreased the under-collection by
20		\$18,354,245.
21		<u>Demand True-Up</u> - These amounts represent the over or under-collection of
22		demand charges incurred by the Company as compared to demand charges billed
23		and collected from customers. Beginning October 1, 2009, in accordance with

June 24, 2010

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 5 of 11

Order No. 2010-250 dated March 30, 2010, the Company began including unbilled volumes in its demand true-up calculations in order to achieve a better matching of gas cost collections versus actual gas costs in the months they occur. The design day factor for demand allocation is 16.01%, effective November 1, 2008, due to North Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. G-9 Sub 550, dated October 24, 2008. The methodology used to calculate the design day factor for demand allocation of 16.01% is in compliance with the Public Service Commission of South Carolina Docket No. 2003-251-G, Order No. 2004-501, dated October 15, 2004. Demand true-up for the period decreased the under-collection by \$586,596. Negotiated Losses - In competition with alternate fuels, the Company's GCRM allows it to maintain its industrial load by selling gas at less than the approved tariff, resulting in margin losses. Negotiated losses for the period increased the under-collection by \$1,380,175. Secondary Market Sharing - Effective with rates approved in Docket No. 2002-63-G, Order No. 2002-761 dated November 1, 2002, the Company now credits 75% of the margin from off-system sales and capacity release transactions to deferred account #253.04. The remaining 25% is retained by the company. The same Order also provided that capacity release credits and off-system sales would be allocated to South Carolina using the same design day methodology approved for fixed demand costs. Shared margins and capacity release credits for the period reduced the under-collection by \$5,014,711. It should be noted that in compliance with Order No. 95-1461 dated August 22, 1995, issued in Docket No. 95-160-G, the Company is properly reporting capacity release activity. These capacity release

21

22

June 24, 2010 Page 6 of 11

1 credits totaling \$3,643,581 are included in the total of \$5,014,711 listed above for 2 secondary market sharing. 3 Weather Normalization - In compliance with Order No. 95-1649 dated November 4 7, 1995, issued in Docket No. 95-715-G, the Company began recording in deferred 5 account #253.04 weather normalization effective for the winter heating season of 6 November through March. The weather normalization adjustment is designed to 7 increase or decrease the margin component of the rate based on a comparison of 8 actual weather conditions, during the period, to normal weather conditions. 9 Weather normalization adjustments for the examination period decreased the under-10 collection by \$3,947,243 as a result of colder than normal weather for the 2009-11 2010 heating season. 12 Lost and Unaccounted For ("LAUF") - This annual line loss adjustment reflects a 13 true-up of calculated "unaccounted for" and "company use" fuels charged to the 14 customers as compared with the actual losses experienced during the period. This 15 was an annual adjustment required by the Company's GCRM. Beginning October 16 1, 2009, in accordance with Order No. 2010-250 dated March 30, 2010, the 17 company employed a new LAUF methodology. Applying this new methodology to 18 Piedmont's gas cost deferred account on a monthly basis results in a more accurate 19 LAUF adjustment and also eliminates the need for an annual LAUF true-up because 20 the quantities calculated in its monthly reports reflect its actual LAUF experience. The calculation of the 2009 LAUF adjustment reduced the under-collection by \$346,071.

June 24, 2010

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 7 of 11

Uncollectible Gas Cost Recovery - In Docket No. 2006-4-G, Order No. 2006-527 dated October 11, 2006, the Commission approved the Company's request to remove uncollectible gas cost expense from its cost of service and authorized the recovery of these costs through the Company's gas cost deferred account #253.04. Under this revised methodology, the commodity cost of gas portion of uncollectible accounts is now a component of deferred account #253.04. The balance of the uncollectible accounts expensed, including the company's margin, will be recovered through their RSA filing. The uncollectible gas cost adjustment increased the under-collection during the period by \$589,718. Supplier Refunds - The Company received supplier refunds totaling \$502,482 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2010. South Carolina's allocation of these refunds results in a decrease to the under-collection of \$77,455. Hedging Activity Transfer - In Docket No. 2006-4-G, Order No. 2006-527 dated October 11, 2006, the Commission authorized the Company to transfer the balance of its cumulative hedging gains or losses to deferred account #253.04 on a monthly basis, effective November 1, 2006. Transfer of the monthly hedging gains and losses to deferred account #253.04 for the period April 2009 through March 2010 resulted in an increase to the under-collection of \$5,435,784. Other Adjustments - Other adjustments posted to deferred account #253.04 during the review period result in a decrease to the under-collection of \$3.180.463. The majority of this decrease is due to the change in LAUF methodology and the inclusion of unbilled revenue as discussed in Company witness Robert L. Thornton's testimony on page 5.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

A.

June 24, 2010 Page 8 of 11

Accrued Interest - In Docket No. 2006-4-G, Order No. 2006-527 the Commission ordered that, effective November 1, 2006, the interest rate applicable to balances in Piedmont's account #253.04 be fixed at 7.00%. The Company used the Commission ordered rate of 7.00% in calculating accrued interest on deferred account #253.04 from April through August 2009, and the result is an increase in the under-collection of \$764,471. Effective September 1, 2009, Commission Order No. 2009-579 dated August 19, 2009 ordered the interest rate to calculate underand over-collection balances to be the rate of interest as of the first day of each month for 10-year United States Government Treasury Bills, plus an all-in spread of 65 basis points (.65 percentage points). It also ordered that interest not be accrued on under- and over-collection balances which exceed \$20 million in the deferred account. The result is an increase to the under-collection of \$377,156 for the months of September 2009 through March 2010. Total accrued interest for the period April 2009 through March 2010 resulted in an increase to the undercollection of \$1,141,627.

## 16 Q. WHAT IS INCLUDED IN AUDIT EXHIBIT DFS-2, STORAGE 17 INVENTORY ACTIVITY?

During the PGA, the Company provided ORS with detailed inventory calculations of its underground and liquefied natural gas (LNG) storage facilities. ORS accumulated the various inventory levels by storage location in total, and includes a summary of them in Audit Exhibit DFS-2, for Commission consideration.

June 24, 2010

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 9 of 11

The Company has contracted with six underground storage facilities. Four of these facilities are on the Transco System, including General Storage Service and General Storage Service - Dominion located in Pennsylvania, Washington Storage Service located in Louisiana, and Eminence Storage Service located in Mississippi. The fifth and sixth underground storage facilities are on the Columbia Gas Transmission System. The first is Firm Storage Service, which is owned by Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation and operated by Columbia Gas Storage, with facilities in Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia. The second is Hardy Storage Company, located in West Virginia, which is jointly owned by Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation and Piedmont. Audit Exhibit DFS-2, "Total Underground" section, details the inventory activities of these underground facilities in total. The inventory located in the six underground facilities at the beginning of the review period totaled 8,076,680 dekatherms at a total cost of \$66,689,658 or a weighted average cost of \$8.2571 per dekatherm. inventory decreased during the period resulting in an ending inventory balance for the underground facilities of 7,837,174 dekatherms at a total cost of \$44,701,620 or a weighted average cost per dekatherm of \$5.7038. During its examination, ORS obtained verification from the storage facility operators of the volumes stored in each of these facilities at the close of the review period.

Audit Exhibit DFS-2 also contains a section called "Total LNG" which is a summary of the various LNG inventories maintained by the Company, including Pine Needle. Pine Needle is an LNG facility located in Guilford County, North Carolina and is jointly owned by Piedmont, Transco and several other utilities

A.

June 24, 2010 Page 10 of 11

and/or investors. Inventory is also stored at the Company's LNG facilities located near Charlotte, North Carolina and at LNG-NCNG located at Four Oaks, North Carolina. Piedmont's records indicated LNG inventory located in these facilities at the beginning of the period totaled 1,580,031 dekatherms at a total cost of \$13,644,840, or a weighted average cost of \$8.6358 per dekatherm. The net inventory increased during the period resulting in an ending LNG inventory balance for these three facilities of 2,309,537 dekatherms at a total cost of \$14,737,423 or a weighted average cost per dekatherm of \$6.3811. During its examination, ORS verified injections and withdrawals to the daily storage activity worksheets for each facility and the calculation of ending inventory balances.

## 11 Q. WHAT IS INCLUDED ON AUDIT EXHIBIT DFS-3, RISK 12 MANAGEMENT-HEDGING PROGRAM?

Audit Exhibit DFS-3 details the results of the Company's hedging program for the period. Order No. 2002-223 dated March 26, 2002 approved the Company's experimental natural gas hedging program.

In Docket No. 2006-4-G, Order No. 2006-527, the Commission authorized the Company, effective November 1, 2006, to transfer the balance of its cumulative hedging gains or losses to account #253.04 on a monthly basis. Monthly net hedging gains or losses before interest were transferred to deferred account #253.04 and included as part of the interest calculation on deferred account #253.04. Total hedging activity for the review period resulted in an increase in the under-collection account of \$5,435,784.

PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. ACCOUNT #253.04 ANALYSIS SUMMARY FOR TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010

					2009						2010		
Month	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Total
	s	49	49	€9	69	<b>S</b>	ø	s	s	ø	ø	s	ø
Beginning Balance	25,556,246	26,239,577	24,077,240	26,108,570	27,355,975	29,749,534	26,633,161	27,498,064	24,956,706	18,751,092	12,276,191	6,437,810	
Commodity True-Up	(1,576,471)	(847,414)	(859,530)	(605,268)	(653,881)	(1,030,102)	(1,397,092)	(2,395,668)	(4,107,269)	(2,101,347)	(2,579,160)	(201,043)	(18,354,245)
Demand True-Up	(228,384)	794,840	1,108,080	1,204,716	1,217,046	1,177,238	1,101,317	461,771	(751,306)	(3,154,495)	(2,782,900)	(734,519)	(586,596)
Negotiated Losses	92,740	196'29	94,690	92,448	83,653	112,152	111,357	161,845	160,041	129,601	142,005	131,682	1,380,175
Secondary Market Sharing	(513,110)	(251,407)	(274,509)	(200,015)	(296,327)	(277,348)	(236,133)	(1,841,770)	(409,650)	(339,216)	(254,576)	(120,650)	(5,014,711)
Weather Normalization	308	90	26	397	(1,007)	ĸ	0	(2,025)	148,672	(1,584,581)	(868,774)	(1,640,312)	(3,947,243)
Lost and Unaccounted For	0	0	0	0	0	(346,071)	0	0	0,	0	0	0	(346,071)
SC Uncollectibles	81,899	179,271	106,902	128,686	81,554	37,640	860'6	(45,383)	(4,681)	(3,977)	3,609	15,100	589,718
Supplier Refunds	0	0	(1,651)	0	(59,679)	0	(15,877)	0	(209)	(39)	0	0	(77,455)
Hedging Activity Transfer	2,675,717	(2,251,969)	1,711,372	470,956	1,856,127	(2,855,868)	1,236,476	1,055,655	(1,315,945)	516,826	468,373	1,868,064	5,435,784
Other Adjustments	0	0	0	0	0	0	(11,576)	0	0	7,338	0	(3,176,225)	(3,180,463)
Ending Balance Before Interest	26,088,945	23,930,909	25,962,620	27,200,490	29,583,461	26,567,178	27,430,731	24,892,489	18,676,359	12,221,202	6,404,768	2,579,907	
Interest	150,632	146,331	145,950	155,485	166,073	65,983	67,333	64,217	74,733	54,989	33,042	16,859	1,141,627
Ending Balance	26,239,577	24,077,240	26,108,570	27,355,975	29,749,534	26,633,161	27,498,064	24,956,706	18,751,092	12,276,191	6,437,810	2,596,766	
Interest Rate	7.000%	7.000%	7.000%	7.000%	7.000%	3.959%	4.040%	3.853%	4.484%	4.261%	4.245%	4.487%	

(1) - Variance from Exhibit (RLT\_1) of \$4 due to rounding

\$2,596,766

Deferred Account #253.04 (Over)/Under Collection as of 3/31/10

PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. STORAGE INVENTORY ACTIVITY FOR TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010

'		1	Injections	ground			Vithdrawals	na na			Lotal Underground Balance	
. !	Gross DTs	Used/Injected	Price	Commodity	Injection/Charge	W/D Charge	DTs	Price	Amount	DTs	Amount	Wtd. Cost
1	#	7#	s	\$	<u>د</u>	S	*	s	S	*	s	s
Bal.										8,076,680	859,689,99	8.2571
Apr-08	1,752,722	(44,909)	3.7032	6,490,660	79,931	0	(603,959)	7.3552	(4,442,240)	9,180,534	68,818,009	7.4961
·-08	2,945,828	(119,442)	3.4434	10,143,605	116,812	0	(439,061)	7.3063	(3,207,903)	11,567,859	75,870,523	6.5587
80-	2,702,361	(104,892)	3.5613	9,623,807	138,319	0	(76,532)	6'986'9	(534,724)	14,088,796	85,097,925	6.0401
80-	2,013,969	(87,050)	3.9319	7,918,724	118,149	0	(186,956)	6.9294	(1,295,487)	15,828,759	91,839,311	5.8021
80-i	1,491,709	(68,147)	3.3498	4,996,948	89,014	0	(569,344)	6.6025	(3,759,083)	16,682,977	93,166,190	5.5845
80-1	1,118,972	(48,658)	2.8004	3,133,515	166'89	0	(260,810)	6.3706	(1,661,523)	17,492,481	94,707,173	5.4142
80-	833,042	(31,965)	3.6762	3,062,435	51,509	0	(604,582)	6.3747	(3,854,042)	17,688,976	93,967,075	5.3122
80-/	519,810	(11,018)	4,2553	2,211,973	8,844	0	(340,817)	4.9113	(1,673,856)	17,856,951	94,514,036	5.2928
.08 -08	185,315	(3,822)	4.4986	833,663	3,064	0	(2,459,060)	4.7217	(11,610,956)	15,579,384	83,739,807	5.3750
60	66,948	(1,712)	6.0150	402,692	2,738	0	(3,793,250)	4.9430	(18,750,004)	11,851,370	65,395,233	5.5179
6	1,735	(65)	5.3424	6,269	8	0	(3,595,501)	5.1323	(18,453,148)	8,257,512	46,951,444	5.6859
-09	1,020,126	(51,983)	4.7778	4,873,964	42,523	0	(1,388,481)	5 1613	(7,166,311)	7,837,174	44,701,620	5.7038
l	14.652.537	(573,690)	3.6650	53.701.255	719,984	0	(14.318.353)	5.3365	(76.409.277)			

			Wtd. Cost	s	8.6358	7.2798	6.3600	5.9682	5.8102	5.5256	5.4315	5.4619	5.4528	5.4978	5.9591	6.2823	6,3811	
Total LNG	Balance		Amount	S	13,644,840	15,433,230	17,896,384	19,684,936	21,386,481	23,142,652	23,440,443	23,539,976	23,330,582	23,695,724	18,270,889	15,501,384	14,737,423	
			DTs	*	1,580,031	2,120,021	2,813,886	3,298,314	3,680,881	4,188,298	4,315,643	4,309,827	4,278,656	4,310,061	3,066,068	2,467,479	2,309,537	
	nts	evel	Amount	s		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adjustments	To Tank Level	DTs	#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Amount	s		(522,515)	(165,812)	(186,110)	(181,812)	(134,336)	(137,190)	(188,283)	(209,394)	338,966	(6,807,102)	(2,802,713)	(1,157,381)	(12,153,682)
			Price	s		6.7209	6.8802	6.8899	7.0736	6.8581	6.7240	6.7316	6.7176	10.7934	4.6572	4,6822	4.8842	4,8239
Total LNG	Withdrawals		DTs	*		(77,745)	(24,100)	(27,012)	(25,703)	(19,588)	(20,403)	(27,970)	(31,171)	31,405	(1,461,620)	(598,589)	(236,962)	(2,519,458)
			W/D Chg/Exp Def	S		0	138,952	81,721	269,097	65,583	11,208	202,736	0	26,176	50,670	33,208	12,313	891,664
			Injection/Charge	s		4,407	4,509	4,568	4,721	9,964	305	29	0	0	5,621	0	1,515	35,639
			Commodity	s		2,306,498	2,485,505	1,888,373	1,609,539	1,814,960	423,468	85,051	0	0	1,325,976	0	379,592	12,318,962
Total LNG	Injections		Price C	s		3.7031	3.4419	3.6620	3.9006	3.3332	2.8607	3.8170	#DIV/0i	#D1V/0!	5.8281	#D1V/0!	4.6635	3,7364
			Used/Injected	#±		(5,122)	(4,175)	(4,230)	(4,371)	(17,497)	(282)	(128)	0	0	(6,889)	0	(2,376)	(48,070)
			Gross DTs	<b>41</b> 2		622,857	722,140	515,670	412,641	544,502	148,030	22,282	0	0	227,516	0	81,396	3,297,034
•	. !	. 1	. 1		Beg. Bal.	Apr-08	May-08	Jun-08	Jul-08	Aug-08	Sep-08	Oct-08	Nov-08	Dec-08	Jan-09	Feb-09	Mar-09	ı <b>1</b>

PIEDMONT NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
RISK MANAGEMENT - HEDGING PROGRAM
GAIN/(LOSS) RECOGNIZED AND OTHER EXPENSES
FOR TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010

Month	(Gain) or Loss	Trading Fees	(Gain) or Loss Recognized	Interest	RMI Fee	Real-Time Pricing Fee	Net (Gain) or Loss	Cumulative (Gain) or Loss
	€9	€5	<del></del>	<del>59</del>	<del>\$</del>	\$	<del>\$</del>	\$
Beginning Balance	ance							31,739,941
Apr-09	2,676,372	0	2,676,372	(1,072)	395	22	2,675,717	34,415,658
May-09	(2,253,841)	1,643	(2,252,198)	(662)	870	21	(2,251,969)	32,163,689
60-unf	1,711,208	0	1,711,208	(268)	711	21	1,711,372	33,875,061
90-Inf	468,944	1,643	470,587	(443)	791	21	470,956	34,346,017
Aug-09	1,854,499	1,426	1,855,925	(019)	791	21	1,856,127	36,202,144
Sep-09	(2,857,229)	1,054	(2,856,175)	(909)	791	22	(2,855,868)	33,346,276
Oct-09	1,234,334	1,643	1,235,977	(314)	791	22	1,236,476	34,582,752
Nov-09	1,053,413	1,550	1,054,963	(121)	791	22	1,055,655	35,638,407
Dec-09	(1,317,618)	946	(1,316,672)	(98)	791	22	(1,315,945)	34,322,462
Jan-10	514,593	1,473	516,066	(55)	791	24	516,826	34,839,288
Feb-10	466,179	1,442	467,621	(63)	791	24	468,373	35,307,661
Mar-10	1,864,618	2,744	1,867,362	(68)	791	0	1,868,064	37,175,725
	5,415,472	15,564	5,431,036	(4,589)	9,095	242	5,435,784	